IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated:- 20.06.2006

Coram:-

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.SATHASIVAM
and
The Hon'ble Mr. Justice V.DHANAPALAN

Habeas Corpus Petition No.284 of 2006

Ramanamma Petitioner

Vs.

1. State of Tamil Nadu rep. By
   The Secretary to Government of Tamil Nadu,
   Prohibition and Excise Department,
   Fort St. George, Chennai-9.

2. The Commissioner of Police,
   Greater Chennai.

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a Writ of Habeas Corpus to call for the entire records leading to the detention of the petitioner's son by name Suresh @ Gulam under Act 14/82, vide detention order dated 22.2.2006 on the file of the 2nd respondent herein made in the proceedings No.55/BDFGISV/2006, quash the same and consequently direct the respondents herein to produce the body and person of the said detenu before this Court and set him at liberty from Central Prison, Chennai.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mrs.R.Subadra Devi
For Respondents : Mr.M.Babu Muthu Meeran
Addl. Public Prosecutor

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/

O R D E R

(Order of the Court was made by V.DHANAPALAN J.)

The petitioner's son by name Suresh @ Gulam, who was detained as a ''Goonda" as contemplated under the Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Drug Offenders, Forest Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders, Slum Grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982(Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982), by the impugned detention order dated 22.02.2006, challenges the same in this Petition.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned Additional Public prosecutor for the respondents.

3. At the foremost, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that there is enormous delay in disposal of the representation of the detenu, which vitiates the ultimate order of detention. With reference to the above claim, learned Additional Public Prosecutor has placed the details, which show that the representation of the detenu dated 6.3.2006 was received by the Government on 8.3.2006 and remarks were called for from the Government on 9.3.2006 and the remarks were received by the Government on 13.3.2006 and the File was submitted on 14.03.2006 and the same was dealt with by the Under Secretary and the Deputy Secretary on 15.03.2006 and finally, the Minister for Prohibition and Excise passed orders on 16.03.2006. The rejection letter was prepared on 27.03.2006 and the same was sent to the detenu on the same day i.e. on 27.03.2006 and served to him on 28.03.2006. As rightly pointed out by the learned counsel for the petitioner, though the Minister for Prohibition and Excise passed an order on 16.03.2006, there is no explanation at all for taking time for preparation of rejection letter till 27.03.2006. In the absence of any explanation by the person concerned even after excluding the intervening holidays, we are of the view that the time taken for preparation of rejection letter is on the higher side and we hold that the said delay has prejudiced the detenu in disposal of his representation. On this ground, we quash the impugned order of detention.

WEB COPY

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/

4.Accordingly, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the impugned order of detention is set aside. The detenu is directed to be set at liberty forthwith from the custody unless he is required in some other case or cause.

Sd./
Asst. Registrar

/True Copy/

Sub-Asst. Registrar

raa

To

1. The Secretary to Government, State of Tamil Nadu, Prohibition and Excise Department, Fort St. George, Chennai-600 009.

2. The Commissioner of Police, Greater Chennai.

3. The Superintendent, Central Prison, Chennai.

4. The Joint Secretary to Government, Public (Law and Order) Fort St. George, Chennai-9.

5. The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.

JRG (CO)
SR/26/6

WEB COPY

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/